

Sparse grid approximation by translates of the Korobov function

Dinh Dũng^{a,*}, Charles A. Micchelli^b

^a Vietnam National University, Hanoi, Information Technology Institute
144 Xuan Thuy, Hanoi, Vietnam

^bDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, SUNY Albany
Albany, 12222, USA

December 5, 2012 -- Version 0.4

Abstract

For a set $\mathbb{W} \subset L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ of multivariate periodic functions on the torus $\mathbb{T}^d$ and a given function $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, we study the approximation in the $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ -norm of functions $f \in \mathbb{W}$ by arbitrary linear combinations of n translates of φ and measure the error in terms of the quantity

$$M_n(\mathbb{W}, \varphi)_p := \sup \{ \inf \{ \|f - \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_n} c_l \varphi(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_l)\|_p : c_l \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{y}_l \in \mathbb{T}^d \} : f \in \mathbb{W} \},$$

where $\mathbb{N}_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We prove upper bounds for the convergence rate of $M_n(U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \kappa_{r,d})_p$ where $U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is the unit ball in the Korobov space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\kappa_{r,d}$ is the Korobov function. To obtain the upper bounds, we construct approximation methods based on sparse Smolyak grids. The case $M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \kappa_{r,d})_2$, $r > 1/2$ is especially important since $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space, whose reproducing kernel is a translation kernel determined by the Korobov function $\kappa_{r,d}$. We also provide lower bounds for the quantity $M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d))_2 := \inf \{ M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \varphi)_2 : \varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) \}$ which gives information about the best choice of φ .

Keywords Korobov space; Translates of the Korobov function; Reproducing kernel Hilbert space; Sparse Smolyak grid.

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000) 41A46; 41A63; 42A99.

1 Introduction

The d -dimensional torus denoted by $\mathbb{T}^d$ is the cross product of d copies of the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ with the identification of the end points. When $d = 1$, we merely denote the d -torus by $\mathbb{T}$. Complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$ are identified with functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ which are 2π periodic in each variable. We shall denote by $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space of integrable functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_p := (2\pi)^{-d/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |f(\mathbf{x})|^p d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/p}. \quad (1.1)$$

*Corresponding author. Email: dinhzung@gmail.com.

For vectors $\mathbf{x} := (x_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ and $\mathbf{y} := (y_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ in $\mathbb{T}^d$ we use $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} x_l y_l$ for the inner product of $\mathbf{x}$ with $\mathbf{y}$. Here, we use the notation $\mathbb{N}_d$ for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ and later we will use $\mathbb{Z}_d$ for the set $\{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$. Given any complex-valued integrable function f on $\mathbb{T}^d$ and any lattice vector $\mathbf{j} = (j_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we let $\hat{f}(\mathbf{j})$ denote the $\mathbf{j}$ -th Fourier coefficient of f defined by

$$\hat{f}(\mathbf{j}) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(\mathbf{x}) \chi_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

where we define the exponential function $\chi_{\mathbf{j}}$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ to be $\chi_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{i(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{x})}$. Frequently, we use the superscript notation $\mathbb{B}^d$ to denote the cross product of a given set $\mathbb{B}$. Also, for notational convenience we allow $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{Z}_0$ to stand for the empty set.

The convolution of two complex-valued functions f_1 and f_2 on $\mathbb{T}^d$, denoted by $f_1 * f_2$, is defined at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ by equation

$$(f_1 * f_2)(\mathbf{x}) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f_1(\mathbf{x}) f_2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y},$$

whenever the integrand is in $L_1(\mathbb{T}^d)$. We are interested in approximations of functions from the Korobov space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ by arbitrary linear combinations of n arbitrary shifts of the Korobov function $\kappa_{r,d}$ defined below. The case $p = 2$ and $r > 1/2$ is especially important, since $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

In order to formulate the setting for our problem, we establish some necessary definitions and notation. For a given $r > 0$ and a lattice vector $\mathbf{j} := (j_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we define the scalar $\lambda_{\mathbf{j}}$ by the equation

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{j}} := \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} \lambda_{j_l},$$

where

$$\lambda_l := \begin{cases} |l|^r & , l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \\ 1 & , \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.1 *The Korobov function $\kappa_{r,d}$ is defined at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ by the equation*

$$\kappa_{r,d}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \lambda_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1} \chi_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x})$$

and the corresponding Korobov space is

$$K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d) := \{f : f = \kappa_{r,d} * g, g \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)\}$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} := \|g\|_p.$$

Remark 1.2 *The univariate Korobov function $\kappa_{r,1}$ shall always be denoted simply by κ_r and therefore $\kappa_{r,d}$ has at $\mathbf{x} = (x_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ the alternate tensor product representation*

$$\kappa_{r,d}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} \kappa_r(x_l) \tag{1.2}$$

because, when $\mathbf{j} = (j_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ we have that

$$\kappa_{r,d}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \lambda_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1} \chi_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} (\lambda_{j_l}^{-1} \chi_{j_l}(x_l)) = \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_j^{-1} \chi_j(x_l).$$

Remark 1.3 If $r > 1$, then $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \lambda_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1} < \infty$ and so $\kappa_{r,d}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{T}^d$. Therefore, we conclude that $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d) \subseteq C(\mathbb{T}^d)$. For $r > 1/2$ we get that $\kappa_{r,d} \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and therefore we also conclude that $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d) \subseteq C(\mathbb{T}^d)$. These are the only cases which we shall consider in this paper.

Remark 1.4 Since $\hat{\kappa}_{r,d}(\mathbf{j}) \neq 0$ for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ it readily follows that $\|\cdot\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ is a norm. Moreover, we point out that the univariate Korobov function is related to the one-periodic extension of Bernoulli polynomials. Specifically, if we denote the one-periodic extension of the Bernoulli polynomial as $\bar{B}_n$ then for $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we have that

$$\bar{B}_{2m}(t) = \frac{2m!}{(2\pi i)^{2m}} (1 - \kappa_{2m}(2\pi t)).$$

When $p = 2$ and $r > 1/2$ the kernel K defined at $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ in $\mathbb{T}^d$ as $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \kappa_{2r,d}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ is the reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. This means, for every function $f \in K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$, we have that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (f, K(\cdot, \mathbf{x}))_{K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}$ denotes the inner product on the Hilbert space $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. For a definitive treatment of reproducing kernel, see, for example, [1].

Korobov spaces $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ are important for the study of smooth multivariate periodic functions. They are sometimes called periodic Sobolev spaces of dominating mixed smoothness and are useful for the study of multivariate approximation and integration, see, for example, the books [16] and [13].

The linear span of the set of functions $\{\kappa_{2r,d}(\cdot - \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$, is dense in the Hilbert space $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. In the language of Machine Learning, this means that the reproducing kernel for the Hilbert space is universal. The concept of universal reproducing kernel has significant statistical consequences in Machine Learning. In the paper [12], a complete characterization of universal kernels is given in terms of its feature space representation. However, no information is provided about the degree of approximation. This unresolved question is the main motivation of this paper and we begin to address it in the context of the Korobov space $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. Specifically, we study approximations in the $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ norm of functions in $K_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ when $r > 1/2$ by linear combinations of n translates of the reproducing kernel, namely, $\kappa_{2r,d}(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_l)$, $\mathbf{y}_l \in \mathbb{T}^d, l \in \mathbb{N}_n$. We shall also study this problem in the space $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $1 < p < \infty$ for $r > 1$, because the linear span of the set of functions $\{\kappa_{r,d}(\cdot - \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{T}^d\}$, is also dense in the Korobov space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

For our purpose in this paper, the following concept is essential. Let $\mathbb{W} \subset L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\varphi \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ be a given function. We are interested in the approximation in $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ -norm of all functions $f \in \mathbb{W}$ by arbitrary linear combinations of n translates of the function φ , that is, the functions $\varphi(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_l)$, $\mathbf{y}_l \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and measure the error in terms of the quantity

$$M_n(\mathbb{W}, \varphi)_p := \sup \left\{ \inf \left\{ \|f - \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_n} c_l \varphi(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_l)\|_p : c_l \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{y}_l \in \mathbb{T}^d \right\} : f \in \mathbb{W} \right\}.$$

The aim of the present paper is to investigate the convergence rate, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, of $M_n(U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \kappa_{r,d})_p$ where $U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ is the unit ball in $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. We shall also obtain a lower bound for the convergence rate as $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the quantity

$$M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d))_2 := \inf \{ M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \varphi)_2 : \varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d) \}$$

which gives information about the best choice of φ .

The paper [10] is directly related to the questions we address in this paper, and we rely upon some results from [10] to obtain lower bound for the quantity of $M_n(U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d))_p$. Related material can be found in the papers [9] and [11]. Here, we shall provide upper bounds for $M_n(U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \kappa_{r,d})_p$ for $1 < p < \infty, r > 1, p \neq 2$ and $r > 1/2$ for $p = 2$, as well as lower bounds for $M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d))_2$. To obtain our upper bound, we construct approximation methods based on sparse Smolyak grids. Although these grids have a significantly smaller number of points than the corresponding tensor product grids, the error approximation remains the same. Smolyak grids and the related notion of hyperbolic cross are useful for high dimensional approximation problems, see, for example, [7] and [8]. For recent results on approximations and sampling on Smolyak grids see, for example, [3], [6], [14], and [15].

To describe the main results of our paper, we recall the following notation. Given two sequences $\{a_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{b_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we write $a_l \ll b_l$ provided there is a positive constant c such that for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $a_l \leq cb_l$. When we say that $a_l \asymp b_l$ we mean that both $a_l \ll b_l$ and $b_l \ll a_l$ hold. The main theorem of this paper is the following fact.

Theorem 1.5 *If $1 < p < \infty, p \neq 2, r > 1$ or $p = 2, r > 1/2$, then*

$$M_n(U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \kappa_{r,d})_p \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{r(d-1)}, \quad (1.4)$$

while for $r > 1/2$, we have that

$$n^{-r}(\log n)^{r(d-2)} \ll M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d))_2 \ll n^{-r}(\log n)^{r(d-1)}. \quad (1.5)$$

This paper is organized in the following manner. In Section 2, we give the necessary background from Fourier analysis, construct methods for approximation of functions from the univariate Korobov space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ by linear combinations of translates of the Korobov function κ_r and prove an upper bound for the approximation error. In Section 3, we extend the method of approximation developed in Section 2 to the multivariate case and provide an upper bound for the approximation error. Finally, in Section 4, we provide the proof of the Theorem 1.5.

2 Univariate Approximation

We begin this section by introducing the m -th Dirichlet function, denoted by D_m , and defined at $t \in \mathbb{T}$ as

$$D_m(t) := \sum_{|l| \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}} \chi_l(t) = \frac{\sin((m+1/2)t)}{\sin(t/2)} \quad (2.1)$$

and corresponding m -th Fourier projection of $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$, denoted by $S_m(f)$, and given as $S_m(f) := D_m * f$. The following lemma is a basic result.

Lemma 2.1 *If $1 < p < \infty$, then there exists a positive constant c such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ and $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we have that*

$$\|f - S_m(f)\|_p \leq cm^{-r}\|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T})} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\|S_m(g)\|_p \leq c\|g\|_p. \quad (2.3)$$

Remark 2.2 *The proof of inequality (2.2) is easily verified while inequality (2.3) is given in Theorem 1, page 137, of [2].*

The main purpose of this section is to introduce a linear operator, denoted as Q_m , which is constructed from the m -th Fourier projection and prescribed translate of the Korobov function κ_r , needed for the proof of Theorem 1.5. Specifically, for $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ we define $Q_m(f)$, where f is represented as $f = \kappa_r * g$ for $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$, to be

$$Q_m(f) := (2m+1)^{-1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} S_m(g) \left(\frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right) \kappa_r \left(\cdot - \frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Our main observation in this section is to establish that the operator Q_m enjoys the same error bound which is valid for S_m . We state this fact in the theorem below.

Theorem 2.3 *If $1 < p < \infty$ and $r > 1$, then there is a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$, we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \leq c m^{-r} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T})}$$

and

$$\|Q_m(f)\|_p \leq c \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T})}. \quad (2.5)$$

The idea in the proof of Theorem 2.3 is to use Lemma 2.1 and study the function defined as $F_m := Q_m(f) - S_m(f)$. Clearly, the triangular inequality tells us that

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_p \leq \|f - S_m(f)\|_p + \|F_m\|_p. \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.3 hinges on obtaining an estimate for $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ -norm for the function F_m . To this end, we recall some useful facts about trigonometric polynomials and Fourier series.

We denote by $\mathcal{T}_m$ the space of univariate trigonometric polynomials of degree at most m . That is, we have that $\mathcal{T}_m := \text{span}\{\chi_l : |l| \in \mathbb{Z}_{m+1}\}$. The space $\mathcal{T}_m$ is translation invariant, nested in the sense that $\mathcal{T}_m \subseteq \mathcal{T}_s$ for $m \leq s$ and is set theoretic multiplicative, that is, $\mathcal{T}_m \cdot \mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{m+n}$. We also require a readily verified quadrature formula which says, for any $f \in \mathcal{T}_s$, that

$$\hat{f}(0) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_s} f \left(\frac{2\pi l}{s} \right).$$

Using these facts leads to a formula from [5] which we state in the next lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *If $m, n, s \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $m + n < s$ then for any $f_1 \in \mathcal{T}_m$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{T}_n$ there holds the following identity*

$$f_1 * f_2 = s^{-1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_s} f_1 \left(\frac{2\pi l}{s} \right) f_2 \left(\cdot - \frac{2\pi l}{s} \right). \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.4 is especially useful to us as it gives a convenient representation for the function F_m . In fact, it readily follows, for $f = \kappa_r * g$, that

$$F_m = \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} S_m(g) \left(\frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right) \theta_m \left(\cdot - \frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right), \quad (2.8)$$

where the function θ_m is defined as $\theta_m := \kappa_r - S_m(\kappa_r)$. The proof of formula (2.8) may be based on the equation

$$S_m(\kappa_r * g) = \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} S_m(g) \left(\frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right) (S_m \kappa_r) \left(\cdot - \frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

For the confirmation of (2.9) we use the fact that S_m is a projection onto $\mathcal{T}_m$, so that

$$S_m(\kappa_r * g) = S_m(\kappa_r) * S_m(g).$$

Now, we use Lemma 2.4 with $f_1 = S_m(g)$, $f_2 = S_m(\kappa_r)$ and $s = 2m + 1$ to confirm both (2.8) and (2.9).

The next step in our analysis makes use of equation (2.8) to get the desired upper bound for $\|F_m\|_p$. For this purpose, we need to appeal to two well-known facts attributed to Marcinkiewicz, see, for example, [18]. To describe these results, we introduce the following notation. For any subset $\mathbb{A}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ and a vector $\mathbf{a} := (a_l : l \in \mathbb{A})$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we define the $l^p(\mathbb{A})$ -norm of $\mathbf{a}$ by

$$\|\mathbf{a}\|_{p, \mathbb{A}} := \begin{cases} (\sum_{l \in \mathbb{A}} |a_l|^p)^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup\{|a_l| : l \in \mathbb{A}\}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Also, for each $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we introduce the set

$$\mathbb{L}_s = \{l : l \in \mathbb{N}, 2^s \leq l \leq 2^{s+1} - 1\} \quad (2.10)$$

and the mapping $W_m : \mathcal{T}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2^m}$ defined at $f \in \mathcal{T}_m$ as

$$W_m(f) = \left(f \left(\frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right) : l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1} \right).$$

Lemma 2.5 *If $1 < p < \infty$, then there exist positive constants c and c' such that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}_m$ there hold the inequalities*

$$c\|f\|_p \leq (2m+1)^{-1/p} \|W_m(f)\|_{p, \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} \leq c'\|f\|_p.$$

Remark 2.6 *Lemma 2.5 appears in [18] page 28, Volume II as Theorem 7.5. We also remark in the case that $p = 2$ the constants appearing in Lemma 2.5 are both one. Indeed, we have for any $f \in \mathcal{T}_m$ the equation*

$$(2m+1)^{-1/2} \|W_m(f)\|_{2, \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} = \|f\|_2. \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.7 *If $1 < p < \infty$ and there is a positive constant c such that for any vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_j : j \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ which satisfies for some positive constant A and any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, the condition*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{L}_s} |a_j - a_{j+1}| \leq A,$$

and also $\|\mathbf{a}\|_{\infty, \mathbb{Z}_+} \leq A$, then for any functions $f \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$, the function

$$M_{\mathbf{a}}(f) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j \hat{f}(j) \chi_j$$

belongs to $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ and, moreover, we have that

$$\|M_{\mathbf{a}}(f)\|_p \leq cA\|f\|_p.$$

Remark 2.8 Lemma 2.7 appears in [18] page 232, Volume II as Theorem 4.14 and is sometimes referred as the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.3.

Proof. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define

$$b_j := \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} S_m(g) \left(\frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right) e^{-\frac{2\pi i l j}{2m+1}} \quad (2.12)$$

and observe from equation (2.8) that

$$F_m = \sum_{|j| \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_m} b_j |j|^{-r} \chi_j. \quad (2.13)$$

Moreover, according to equation (2.12), we have for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ that

$$b_{j+2m+1} = b_j. \quad (2.14)$$

We also point out that the $(2m+1) \times (2m+1)$ matrix defined as

$$U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m+1}} \left(e^{-\frac{2\pi i l j}{2m+1}} : l, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1} \right)$$

is a unitary matrix. Therefore, we get for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}$ that

$$S_m(g) \left(\frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} b_j e^{\frac{2\pi i l j}{2m+1}} \quad (2.15)$$

and also using equation (2.11) applied to the function $S_m(g)$ and the fact that S_m is a projection we get that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} |b_j|^2 \leq \|g\|_2^2. \quad (2.16)$$

We split the sum on the right hand side of (2.13) into two parts, namely, $F_m = F_m^+ + F_m^-$, where

$$F_m^+ := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_m} j^{-r} b_j \chi_j, \quad F_m^- := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_m} j^{-r} b_{-j} \chi_{-j}.$$

Let us first consider the function F_m^+ . We decompose the set $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_m$ as a disjoint union of finite sets each containing $2m+1$ integers. Specifically, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the set

$$\mathbb{I}_{m,j} := \{l : l \in \mathbb{N}, j(2m+1) - m \leq l \leq j(2m+1) + m\}$$

and observe that $\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_m$ is a disjoint union of these sets. Therefore, using equation (2.14) we can compute

$$F_m^+ = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{I}_{m,j}} l^{-r} b_l \chi_l = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} G_{m,j} \chi_{j(2m+1)-m}$$

where

$$G_{m,j} := \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} (l + j(2m+1) - m)^{-r} b_l \chi_l.$$

Hence, by the triangle inequality we conclude that

$$\|F_m^+\|_p \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|G_{m,j}\|_p. \quad (2.17)$$

Now, we shall use Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7 to estimate $\|G_{m,j}\|_p$. For this purpose, we define for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the components of a vector $\mathbf{a} = (a_l : l \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$ as

$$a_l := \begin{cases} (l + j(2m+1) - m))^{-r}, & l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and introduce the function $G_m := \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} b_l \chi_l$. Since, we have that

$$\hat{G}_m(l) = \begin{cases} b_l, & l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

we may conclude that $G_{m,j} = M_{\mathbf{a}}(G_m)$ and so Lemma 2.7 is applicable when a value of A is specified. For a fixed value of j and m , we observe that the components of the vector $\mathbf{a}$ are decreasing and moreover, it is readily seen that $a_0 \leq (jm)^{-r}$. Therefore, we may choose $A = (jm)^{-r}$ and apply Lemma 2.7 to conclude that

$$\|G_{m,j}\|_p \leq \rho(jm)^{-r} \|G_m\|_p, \quad (2.18)$$

where ρ is a constant which is independent of j and m . Now, we apply Lemma 2.5 to the function G_m (using the lower bound), observe by a straightforward computation that $W_m(G_m) = W_m(S_m(g))$, once again, apply Lemma 2.5 (using the upper bound) to the function $S_m(g)$ and then appeal to inequality (2.3). Following these steps, we establish the existence of a positive constant η , which is independent of m , such that $\|G_m\|_p \leq \eta \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T})}$. We combine this inequality with inequalities (2.18) and inequality (2.17) to conclude, that there is positive constant γ , independent of m , such that $\|F_m^+\|_p \leq \gamma \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T})}$. Likewise we may argue that $\|F_m^-\|_p$ satisfies the same bound (perhaps with different constants). But, now, we recall that $F_m = F_m^+ + F_m^-$ and so inequality (2.2) of Lemma 2.1 and inequality (2.6) proves the first part of Theorem 2.3.

We now turn our attention to the proof of inequality (2.5). Since κ_r is continuous on $\mathbb{T}$, the proof of (2.5) is transparent. Indeed, we successively use the Hölder inequality, the upper bound in Lemma 2.5 applied to the function $S_m(g)$ and the inequality (2.3) to obtain the desired result. $\square$

We end this section by providing an improvement of Theorem 2.3 when $p = 2$.

Theorem 2.9 *If $r > 1/2$, then there is a positive constant c such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in K_2^r(\mathbb{T})$, we have that*

$$\|f - Q_m(f)\|_2 \leq c m^{-r} \|f\|_{K_2^r(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Proof. This proof parallels that given for Theorem 2.3 but, in fact, is simpler. From the definition of the function F_m^+ we conclude that

$$\|F_m^+\|_2^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}_m} j^{-2r} |b_j|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{I}_{m,j}} k^{-2r} |b_k|^2. \quad (2.19)$$

We now use equation (2.14) to obtain that

$$\|F_m^+\|_2^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} (k + j(2m+1) - m)^{-2r} |b_k|^2 \leq m^{-2r} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} j^{-2r} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} |b_k|^2 \quad (2.20)$$

and appealing to the inequality (2.16) we finally get that

$$\|F^+\|_2^2 \leq \|g\|_2^2 = \|f\|_{K_T^r(\mathbb{T})}^2$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

3 Multivariate Approximation

In this section we extend the observations made earlier to the multivariate case. For our presentation we find it convenient to express the linear operator Q_m defined in equation (2.4) in an alternate form. Our preference here is to introduce a kernel H_m on $\mathbb{T}^2$ defined for $x, t \in \mathbb{T}$ as

$$H_m(x, t) = \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m+1}} \kappa_r \left(x - \frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} \right) D_m \left(\frac{2\pi l}{2m+1} - t \right) \quad (3.1)$$

and then observe when $f = \kappa_r * g$ for $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T})$ that

$$Q_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_m(x, t) g(t) dt. \quad (3.2)$$

The idea used in this section is to construct linear operators which act on multivariate functions fixing all variables but one and then applying Q_m to the resulting univariate function. The precise description of what we have in mind starts with the following simple construction. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}_d$, $\mathbf{x} = (x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}$, we define a vector $\mathbf{x}_l(t) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ to have components given as

$$(\mathbf{x}_l(t))_j = \begin{cases} x_j, & j \in \mathbb{N}_d \setminus \{l\} \\ t, & j = l \end{cases}$$

Thus, to form $\mathbf{x}_l(t)$ we remove its l -th component and insert in its place the variable t . So, of course, we have that $\mathbf{x}_l(x_l) = \mathbf{x}$. Now, we define a collection $\mathbb{D}_l$ of complex-valued functions on $\mathbb{T}^d$. A function f is in $\mathbb{D}_l$ provided that there is a $w \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ such that for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we have that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa_r(x_l - t) w(\mathbf{x}_l(t)) dt. \quad (3.3)$$

On the set $\mathbb{D}_l$ we define for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ a linear operator $Q_{l,m}$ at $f \in \mathbb{D}_l$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ as

$$Q_{l,m}(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_m(x_l - t) w(\mathbf{x}_l(t)) dt. \quad (3.4)$$

Alternatively, we may express $Q_{l,m}$ in the (perhaps, less convenient) form

$$Q_{l,m}(f)(\mathbf{x}) = Q_m(w(\mathbf{x}_l(\cdot)))(x_l).$$

To get accustomed to our definition we shall demonstrate that the collection of linear operators $Q_m = \{Q_{l,m} : l \in \mathbb{N}_d\}$ are pairwise commutative on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. For simplicity of presentation, and without loss of generality, we concentrated only on the two operators Q_{1,m_1} and Q_{2,m_2} . We write a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ in concatenated form $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ where $\mathbf{u} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ and $\mathbf{v} = (x_{2+j} : j \in \mathbb{N}_{d-2}) \in$

$\mathbb{T}^{d-2}$. Also, we introduce a convenient subset $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ of complex-valued function f for which there is a $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ such that $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \kappa_{r,2}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{t})g(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{v})d\mathbf{t}.$$

Certainly $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d) \subseteq \mathbb{D}_{1,2} \subseteq \mathbb{D}_1 \cap \mathbb{D}_2$ and so we can compute $Q_{2,m_2}(f)$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{2,m_2}(f)(\mathbf{x}) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_{m_2}(x_2 - t_2) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa_r(x_1 - t_1)g(t_1, t_2, \mathbf{v})dt_1 \right\} dt_2 \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa_r(x_1 - t_1) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{T}} H_{m_2}(x_2 - t_2)g(t_1, t_2, \mathbf{v})dt_2 \right\} dt_1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that $Q_{2,m_2}(f) \in D_1$ and so we also have that

$$(Q_{1,m_1}Q_{2,m_2})(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} H_{m_1}(x_1 - t_1)H_{m_2}(x_2, t_2)g(t_1, t_2, \mathbf{v})dt_1dt_2$$

which implies that Q_{1,m_1} and Q_{2,m_2} commute on $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$.

As was already pointed out in inequality (1.2), the multivariate Korobov function $\kappa_{r,d}$ is a tensor product of d copies of its univariate version, and therefore, it readily follows that

$$K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d) \subseteq \bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{D}_l. \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently, we may represent the whole collection of linear operators $\mathcal{Q}_m := \{Q_{l,m} : l \in \mathbb{N}_d\}$ simultaneously on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. For this purpose, we introduce a multivariate kernel $H_{l,m}$ on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d$ defined for each $\mathbf{x} = (x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d)$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ as

$$H_{l,m}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) := H_m(x_l, t_l) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_d \setminus \{l\}} \kappa_r(x_j - t_j). \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, it readily follows for the function $f = \kappa_{r,d} * g$ where $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ that

$$Q_{l,m}(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} H_{l,m}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t})g(\mathbf{t})d\mathbf{t}. \quad (3.7)$$

Of course, when $d = 1$ Q_{1,m_1} reduces to Q_{m_1} .

Our definition of the collection $\mathcal{Q}_m$ of linear operators have been crafted so that they commute on the space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. A family of commuting operators allows us to use some simple results from Boolean Algebra. Specifically, for each lattice vector $\mathbf{m} = (m_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we form the operator

$$Q_{\mathbf{m}} := \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} Q_{l,m_l}.$$

The operator $Q_{\mathbf{m}}$ has a nice representation on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. To describe it we introduce a kernel $H_{\mathbf{m}}$ on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d$ defined at $\mathbf{x} = (x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d)$, $\mathbf{t} = (t_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d$ as

$$H_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) := \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_d} H_{m_j}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \quad (3.8)$$

and conclude for $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ represented as $f = \kappa_{r,d} * g$ where $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we get that

$$Q_{\mathbf{m}}(f)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} H_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) g(\mathbf{t}) d\mathbf{t}.$$

Remark 3.1 *Note that the multivariate kernel $H_{l,m}$ has two subscripts while the univariate kernel H_m has only one subscript while the other multivariate kernel $H_{\mathbf{m}}$ has a vector subscript.*

To assess the error in approximating the function f by the function $Q_{\mathbf{m}}f$ we need a convenient representation for $f - Q_{\mathbf{m}}f$. Specifically, for each nonempty subset $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_d$ and lattice vector $\mathbf{m} = (m_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we let $|\mathbb{V}|$ be the cardinality of $\mathbb{V}$ and define linear operators

$$Q_{\mathbf{m},\mathbb{V}} := \prod_{l \in \mathbb{V}} (I - Q_{l,m_l}). \quad (3.9)$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$I - Q_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_d} (-1)^{|\mathbb{V}|-1} Q_{\mathbf{m},\mathbb{V}}, \quad (3.10)$$

where the sum is over all nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{N}_d$. To make use of this formula, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *If $1 < p < \infty$ but $p \neq 2$ and $r > 1$ or $r > 1/2$ when $p = 2$, d is a positive integer and $\mathbb{V}$ is a nonempty subset of $\mathbb{N}_d$, then there exists a positive constant c such that for any $\mathbf{m} = (m_j : j \in \mathbb{N}^d)$ and $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we have that*

$$\|Q_{\mathbf{m},\mathbb{V}}(f)\|_p \leq \frac{c}{(\prod_{l \in \mathbb{V}} m_l)^r} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. First, we return to the univariate case and introduce a kernel $W_{r,m}$ on $\mathbb{T}^2$ defined at $x, t \in \mathbb{T}$ as

$$W_{r,m}(x, t) := \kappa_r(x - t) - H_m(x, t).$$

Consequently, we obtain for $f = \kappa_r * g$ that

$$f(x) - Q_m(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_{r,m}(x, t) g(t) dt.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.3 and 2.9 there is a positive constant c such that the integral operator $\mathcal{W}_{r,m} : L_p(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbb{T})$ defined at $g \in L_p$ and $x \in \mathbb{T}$ to be

$$\mathcal{W}_{r,m}(g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} W_{r,m}(x, t) g(t) dt$$

has an operator norm satisfying the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{W}_{r,m}\|_p := \sup\{\|\mathcal{W}_{r,m}(g)\|_{L_p} : \|g\|_{L_p} \leq 1\} \leq \frac{c}{m^r}. \quad (3.12)$$

Our goal is to extend this circumstance to the multivariate operator $Q_{\mathbf{m},\mathbb{V}}$. We begin with the case that $|\mathbb{V}| = 1$. For simplicity of presentation, and without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathbb{V} = \{1\}$.

Also, we write vectors in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in concatenated form. Thus, we have $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and also $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$. Now, whenever $f = \kappa_r * g$ we may write it in the form

$$f(x, \mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \kappa_r(x_1 - t_1) w(\mathbf{x}_1(t_1)) dt_1$$

where

$$w(x_1, \mathbf{y}) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} \kappa_{r,d-1}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}) g(x_1, \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v}.$$

By Theorem 2.3 and 2.9 we are assured that there is a positive constants c_1 such that for all $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x_1, \mathbf{y}) - Q_{1,m_1}(f)(x_1, \mathbf{y})|^p dx_1 \leq \frac{c_1}{m_1^{rp}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} |w(x_1, \mathbf{y})|^p dx_1. \quad (3.13)$$

We must bound the integral appearing on the right hand side of the above inequality. However, for $r > 1$ we see that $\kappa_{r,d-1} \in C(\mathbb{T}^{d-1})$ while for $r > 1/2$ we can only see that $\kappa_{r,d-1} \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^{d-1})$. Hence, in either case, Hölder inequality ensures there is a positive constant c_2 such that for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^d$ we have that

$$|w(x_1, \mathbf{y})|^p \leq c_2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^{d-1}} |g(x_1, \mathbf{v})|^p d\mathbf{v}. \quad (3.14)$$

We now integrate both sides of (3.13) over $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{T}^{d-1}$ and use inequality (3.14) to prove the result for $|\mathbb{V}| = 1$.

The remainder of the proof proceeds in a similar way. We illustrate the steps in the proof when $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{N}_s$ and s is some positive integer in $\mathbb{N}_d$. This is essentially the general case by relabelling the elements of $\mathbb{V}$ when it has cardinality s . As above, we write vectors in concatenate form $\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{y}^1, \mathbf{y}^2)$ and $\mathbf{t} = (\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{v}^2)$ where $\mathbf{y}^1, \mathbf{v}^1 \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and $\mathbf{y}^2, \mathbf{v}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d-s}$. We require a convenient representation for the function appearing in the left hand side of the inequality (3.2). This is accomplished for functions f having the integral representation at $\mathbf{y}^1, \mathbf{y}^2 \in \mathbb{T}^s$, given as

$$f(\mathbf{y}^1, \mathbf{y}^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^s} \kappa_{r,s}(\mathbf{y}^1 - \mathbf{v}^1) g(\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{y}^2) d\mathbf{v}^1,$$

where $g \in L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$. In that case, it is easily established for $\mathbf{y}^1 = (y_l^1 : l \in \mathbb{N}_s)$ and $\mathbf{v}^1 = (v_l^1 : l \in \mathbb{N}_s)$ that

$$\prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_s} (I - Q_{l,m_l})(f)(\mathbf{y}^1, \mathbf{y}^2) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^s} \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_s} W_{r,m_l}(y_l^1, v_l^1) g(\mathbf{v}^1, \mathbf{y}^2) d\mathbf{v}^1.$$

This is the essential formula that yields the proof of the lemma. We merely use the operator inequality (3.12) and the method followed above for the case $s = 1$ to complete the proof. $\square$

We draw two conclusions from this lemma. The first concerns an estimate for the $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ -norm of the function $f - Q_{\mathbf{m}}(f)$. The proof of the next lemma follows directly from Lemma 3.3 and equation (3.10).

Lemma 3.3 *If $1 < p < \infty$ but $p \neq 2$ and $r > 1$ or $p = 2$ and $r > 1/2$, then there exists a positive constant c such that for any $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ we have that*

$$\|f - Q_{\mathbf{m}}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq \frac{c}{(\min\{m_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d\})^r} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

We now give another use of this Lemma 3.3 by introducing a scale of linear operators on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. First, we choose a $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and define on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ the linear operator $T_k = I - Q_{2^k}$. Also, we set $T_{-1} = I$. Next, we choose a lattice vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, set $|\mathbf{k}| = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_d} k_j$ and on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ we define the linear operator

$$T_{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} T_{l, k_l}$$

in the same fashion as we passed from Q_m on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ to $Q_{\mathbf{m}}$ on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

The next lemma is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.4 *If $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, $r > 1$ or $p = 2$, $r > 1/2$, then there is a positive constant c such that for any $f \in K_p^r$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, there holds the inequality*

$$\|T_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|_p \leq c 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

In the next result, we provide a decomposition of the space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$. To this end, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T})$ the linear operator $R_k := Q_{2^k} - Q_{2^{k-1}}$ and also set $R_0 = Q_1$. Note that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we also have that $R_k = T_{k-1} - T_k$. Moreover, it readily follows that

$$Q_{2^k} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{k+1}} R_l.$$

Let us now extend this setup to the multivariate case. For this purpose, if $\mathbf{l} = (l_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ and $\mathbf{k} = (k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ are lattice vectors in $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we say that $\mathbf{l} \prec \mathbf{k}$ provided for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_d$ we have that $l_j \leq k_j$. Now, as above, for any lattice vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d)$, we define on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ the linear operator $R_{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} R_{l, k_l}$ and observe that

$$Q_{2^{\mathbf{k}}} = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \prec \mathbf{k}} R_{\mathbf{l}}. \quad (3.15)$$

We now are ready to describe our decomposition of this space $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

Theorem 3.5 *If $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, $r > 1$ or $p = 2$, $r > 1/2$, then there exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we have that*

$$\|R_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|_p \leq c 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \quad (3.16)$$

Moreover, every $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ can be represented as

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} R_{\mathbf{k}}(f), \quad (3.17)$$

where the series converges in $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ -norm.

Proof. According to equation (3.15) we have for any $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ that

$$Q_{2^{\mathbf{k}}}(f) = \sum_{\mathbf{l} \prec \mathbf{k}} R_{\mathbf{l}}(f). \quad (3.18)$$

If each component of $\mathbf{k}$ goes to ∞ , then by Lemma 3.3 we see that the left hand side of equation (3.18) goes to f in the $L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)$ -norm. Therefore, to complete the proof, we need only confirm the inequality (3.16). To this end, for each nonempty subset $\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_d$ and lattice vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_l : l \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ we define a new lattice vector $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{V}} \in \mathbb{N}^d$ which has components given as

$$(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{V}})_j := \begin{cases} k_j, & j \in \mathbb{V} \\ k_j - 1, & j \notin \mathbb{V}. \end{cases}$$

Since $R_{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} R_{l, k_l}$ and for $l \in \mathbb{N}_d$ we have that $R_{l, k_l} = T_{l, k_l-1} - T_{l, k_l}$ we obtain that

$$R_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_d} (-1)^{|\mathbb{V}|} T_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{V}}},$$

and so by Lemma 3.4 there exists a positive constant c such that for every $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ we have that

$$\|R_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|_p \leq \sum_{\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_d} \|T_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{V}}}(f)\|_p \leq c \sum_{\mathbb{V} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_d} 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{V}}|} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq c 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}$$

which completes the proof of this theorem. $\square$

Our next observation concerns the linear operator P_m defined on $K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ as

$$P_m := \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq m} R_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Theorem 3.6 *If $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, $r > 1$ or $p = 2$, $r > 1/2$, then there exists a positive constant c such that for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ and $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$,*

$$\|f - P_m(f)\|_p \leq c 2^{-rm} m^{d-1} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.5 we deduce that there exists a positive constant c (perhaps different from the constant appearing in the Theorem 3.5) such that for every $f \in K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - P_m(f)\|_p &= \left\| \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > m} R_{\mathbf{k}}(f) \right\|_p \leq \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > m} \|R_{\mathbf{k}}(f)\|_p \\ &\leq c \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > m} 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq c \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)} \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| > m} 2^{-r|\mathbf{k}|} \\ &\leq c 2^{-rm} m^{d-1} \|f\|_{K_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

4 Convergence rate and optimality

We choose a positive integer $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a lattice vector $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ with $|\mathbf{k}| \leq m$ and another lattice vector $\mathbf{s} = (s_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \otimes_{j \in \mathbb{N}_d} \mathbb{Z}_{2^{k_j+1}+1}$ to define the vector $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}} = \left(\frac{2\pi s_j}{2^{k_j+1}+1} : j \in \mathbb{N}_d \right)$. The Smolyak grid on $\mathbb{T}^d$ consists of all such vectors and is given as

$$G^d(m) := \{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{s}} : |\mathbf{k}| \leq m, \mathbf{s} \in \otimes_{j \in \mathbb{N}_d} \mathbb{Z}_{2^{k_j+1}+1}\}.$$

A simple computation confirms, for $m \rightarrow \infty$ that

$$|G^d(m)| = \sum_{|\mathbf{k}| \leq m} \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_d} (2^{k_j+1} + 1) \asymp 2^d m^{d-1},$$

so, $G^d(m)$ is a sparse subset of a full grid of cardinality 2^{dm} . Moreover, by the definition of the linear operator P_m given in equation (3.19) we see that the range of P_m is contained in the subspace

$$\text{span} \{ \kappa_{r,d}(\cdot - \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in G^d(m) \}.$$

Now, we are ready to prove the next theorem, thereby establishing inequality (1.4).

Theorem 4.1 *If $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, $r > 1$ or $p = 2$, $r > 1/2$, then*

$$M_n(U_p^r, \kappa_{r,d})_p \ll n^{-r} (\log n)^{r(d-1)}.$$

Proof. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and m is the largest positive integer such that $|G^d(m)| \leq n$, then $n \asymp 2^d m^{d-1}$ and by Theorem 3.6 we have that

$$M_n(U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d), \kappa_{r,d}) \leq \sup \{ \|f - P_m(f)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{T}^d)} : f \in U_p^r(\mathbb{T}^d) \} \ll 2^{-rm} m^{d-1} \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{r(d-1)}.$$

□

Next, we prepare for the proof of the lower bound observation in Theorem 1.5. To this end, let $\mathbb{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^l)$ be the set of algebraic polynomials of total degree at most q on $\mathbb{R}^l$, and $\mathbb{E}^m$ the subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$ of all vectors $\mathbf{t} = (t_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_m)$ with components in absolute value one. That is, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}_m$ we demand that $|t_j| = 1$. We choose a polynomial vector field $\mathbf{p} : \mathbb{R}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ such that each component of the vector field $\mathbf{p}$ is in $\mathbb{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^l)$. Corresponding to this polynomial vector field, we introduce the polynomial manifold in $\mathbb{R}^m$ defined as $\mathbb{M}_{m,l,q} := \mathbf{p}(\mathbb{R}^l)$. That is, we have that

$$\mathbb{M}_{m,l,q} := \{ (p_j(\mathbf{u}) : j \in \mathbb{N}_m) : p_j \in \mathbb{P}_q(\mathbb{R}^l), j \in \mathbb{N}_m, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^l \}.$$

We denote the euclidean norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ as $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$. For a proof of the following lemma see [10].

Lemma 4.2 (V. Maiorov) *If $m, l, q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the inequality $l \log(\frac{4emq}{l}) \leq \frac{m}{4}$, then there is a vector $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{E}^m$ and a positive constant c such that*

$$\inf \{ \|\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{M}_{m,l,q} \} \geq cm^{1/2}.$$

Remark 4.3 *If we denote the euclidean distance of $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ to the manifold $\mathbb{M}_{m,l,q}$ by $\text{dist}_2(\mathbf{t}, \mathbb{M}_{m,l,q})$, then the lemma of V. Maiorov above says that*

$$\sup \{ \text{dist}_2(\mathbf{y}, \mathbb{M}_{m,l,q}) : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{E}^m \} \geq cm^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Theorem 4.4 *If $r > 1/2$, then we have that*

$$n^{-r} (\log n)^{r(d-2)} \ll M_n(U_2^r)_2 \ll n^{-r} (\log n)^{r(d-1)}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. The upper bound of (4.1) was proved in Theorem 4.1, and so we only need to prove the lower bound by borrowing a technique used in the proof of [10, Theorem 1.1]. For every positive number a we define a subset $\mathbb{H}(a)$ of lattice vectors given by

$$\mathbb{H}(a) := \left\{ \mathbf{k} : \mathbf{k} = (k_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}_d} |k_j| \leq a \right\}.$$

Recall that, for $a \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $|\mathbb{H}(a)| \asymp a(\log a)^{d-1}$, see, for example, [4]. To apply Lemma 4.2, we choose for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $q = \lfloor n(\log n)^{-d+2} \rfloor + 1$, $m = 5(2d+1)\lfloor n \log n \rfloor$ and $l = (2d+1)n$. With these choices we observe that

$$h_q := |\mathbb{H}(q)| \asymp m \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$q \asymp m(\log m)^{-d+1} \quad (4.3)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also, we readily confirm that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l}{m} \log \left(\frac{4emq}{l} \right) = \frac{1}{5}$$

and so the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2 is satisfied for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, there remains the task of specifying the polynomial manifold $\mathbb{M}_{m,l,q}$. To this end, we introduce the positive constant $\zeta := q^{-r}m^{-1/2}$ and let $\mathbb{Y}$ be the set of trigonometric polynomials on $\mathbb{T}^d$, defined by

$$\mathbb{Y} := \left\{ \zeta \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} t_{\mathbf{k}} \chi_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{t} = (t_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)) \in \mathbb{E}^{h_q} \right\}.$$

If $f \in \mathbb{Y}$ is written in the form $f = \zeta \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} t_{\mathbf{k}} \chi_{\mathbf{k}}$, then $f = \kappa_{r,d} * g$ for some trigonometric polynomial g such that

$$\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \leq \zeta^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|^2,$$

where $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ was defined earlier before Definition 1.1. Since

$$\zeta^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} |\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 \leq \zeta^2 q^{2r} |\mathbb{H}(q)| = m^{-1} |\mathbb{H}(q)|,$$

we see from equation (4.2) that there is a positive constant c such that $\|g\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)} \leq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, we can either adjust functions in $\mathbb{Y}$ by dividing them by c or we can assume without loss of generality that $c = 1$. We choose the latter possibility so that $\mathbb{Y} \subseteq U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

We are now ready to obtain a lower bound for $M_n(U_2^r(\mathbb{T}^d))_2$. We choose any $\varphi \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ and let v be any function formed as a linear combination of n translates of the function φ . Thus, for some real constants $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and vectors $\mathbf{y}_j \in \mathbb{T}^d, j \in \mathbb{N}_n$ we have that

$$v = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_n} c_j \varphi(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_j).$$

By the Bessel inequality we readily conclude for

$$f = \zeta \sum_{\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} t_{\mathbf{h}} \chi_{\mathbf{h}} \in \mathbb{Y}$$

that

$$\|f - v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \geq \zeta^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} \left| t_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_n} c_j e^{i(\mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{k})} \right|^2. \quad (4.4)$$

We now introduce a polynomial manifold so that we can use Lemma 4.2 to get a lower bound for the expressions on the left hand side of inequality (4.4). To this end, we define the vector $\mathbf{c} = (c_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_n$, let $\mathbf{z}_j = (z_{j,l} : l \in \mathbb{N}_d)$ be a vector in $\mathbb{C}^d$ and then concatenate these vectors to form the vector $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}_j : j \in \mathbb{N}_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{nd}$. We employ the standard multivariate notation

$$\mathbf{z}_j^{\mathbf{k}} = \prod_{l \in \mathbb{N}_d} z_{j,l}^{k_l}$$

and require vectors $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{C}^{nd}$ and $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{c}, \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{z}, \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ written in concatenate form. Now, we introduce for each $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)$ the polynomial $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$ defined at $\mathbf{w}$ as

$$\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{w}) := \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{H}(q)} c_j \mathbf{z}_j^{\mathbf{k}}.$$

We only need to consider the real part of $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$, namely, $p_{\mathbf{k}} = \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$ since we have that

$$\inf \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} \left| t_{\mathbf{k}} - \frac{\hat{\varphi}(\mathbf{k})}{\zeta} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_n} c_j e^{i(\mathbf{y}_j, \mathbf{k})} \right|^2 : c_j \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{y}_j \in \mathbb{T}^d \right\} \geq \inf \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} |t_{\mathbf{k}} - p_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{u})|^2 : \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^l \right\}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.2 we conclude there is a vector $\mathbf{t}^0 = (t_{\mathbf{k}}^0 : \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)) \in \mathbb{R}^{h_q}$ and the corresponding function

$$f^0 = \zeta \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{H}(q)} t_{\mathbf{k}}^0 \chi_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{Y}$$

for which there is a positive constant c such that for every v of the form $v = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_n} c_j \varphi(\cdot - \mathbf{y}_j)$ we have that

$$\|f^0 - v\|_{L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)}^2 \geq c \zeta m^{\frac{1}{2}} = q^{-r} \asymp n^{-r} (\log n)^{r(d-2)}$$

which proves the result. $\square$

Acknowledgments Dinh Dũng's research is supported by Grant 102.01-2012.15 of the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED). Charles A. Micchelli's research is partially supported by US National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1115523.

References

- [1] N. Aronszajn, Theory of reproducing kernels, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68**(1950), 337-404.
- [2] N. K. Bari, A Treatise on Trigonometric Series, Volume II, The Macmillian Company, 1964.
- [3] H.-J. Bungartz and M. Griebel, Sparse grids, Acta Numer., **13**(2004), 147-269.
- [4] Dinh Dũng, Number of integral points in a certain set and the approximation of functions of several variables, Math. Notes, **36**(1984), 736-744.

- [5] Dinh Dũng, On interpolation recovery for periodic functions, In: Functional Analysis and Related Topics (Ed. S. Koshi), World Scientific, Singapore 1991, pp. 224–233.
- [6] Dinh Dũng, B-spline quasi-interpolant representations and sampling recovery of functions with mixed smoothness, *Journal of Complexity*, **27**(6)(2011), 541–567.
- [7] Dinh Dũng, T. Ullrich, n -Widths and ε -dimensions for high dimensional sparse approximations, Preprint No 2011b12, Trimester Program 'Analysis and Numerics for High Dimensional Problems', Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics, Bonn 2011.
- [8] M. Griebel and S. Knapek, Optimized general sparse grid approximation spaces for operator equations, *Math. Comp.*, **78**(2009)(268), 2223–2257.
- [9] V. Maiorov, On best approximation by radial functions, *J. Approx. Theory* **120**(2003), 36–70.
- [10] V. Maiorov, Almost optimal estimates for best approximation by translates on a torus, *Constructive Approx.* **21**(2005), 1–20.
- [11] V. Maiorov, R. Meir, Lower bounds for multivariate approximation by affine-invariant dictionaries, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **47**(2001), 1569–1575.
- [12] C. A. Micchelli, Y. Xu, H. Zhang, Universal kernels, *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **7**(2006), 2651–2667.
- [13] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski, Tractability of Multivariate Problems, Volume I: Linear Information, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. 6, Eur. Math. Soc. Publ. House, Zürich 2008.
- [14] W. Sickel and T. Ullrich, The Smolyak algorithm, sampling on sparse grids and function spaces of dominating mixed smoothness, *East J. Approx.* **13**(4)(2007), 387–425.
- [15] W. Sickel and T. Ullrich, Spline interpolation on sparse grids, *Applicable Analysis* **90**(2011), 337–383.
- [16] V. Temlyakov, Approximation of periodic functions, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 1993.
- [17] V. M. Tikhomirov, Some Problems in Approximation Theory (in Russian), Moscow State University, 1985.
- [18] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Third edition, Volume I & II combined, Cambridge University Press, 2002.